




ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.48

December 7th, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Neo-Communism defined: "Many people find it extremely difficult to form any clear and coherent idea of Communism and what it really means... Major-General Van den Bergh described it as 'the highest form of capitalism'.

Some people think of Communism as a theory of government, a political theory invented by Karl Marx. They see it as someone's honest belief about how to reform the world and so forth.

My own definition – and I speak of the power sources of Communism not its surface manifestations – is that Communism is 'financial power of the highest order of magnitude and concentration of control'.

There is, after all, a capitalism which we mean to defend, the capitalism of free enterprise that liberates all the energies of invention and labour.

(Neo-)Communism, therefore, is not capitalism as we understand it; it is the diseased condition into which capitalism can pass; it is an end state, it tells us what must happen when money is allowed to govern itself instead of being governed."

– Ivor Benson in "The Moment of Truth", 1977

THE FRUIT OF NEO-COMMUNISM by Edward Rock: Patrick O'Shea's article on Grain Trading (*O.T.*, 16/11/07) is surely a classis example of the sheer perversion of God's will concerning the supplying of man's needs. This perversion has become so diabolical it has resulted in a suicide rate among Australians farmers now running at an estimated four per day. That figure was given by Rev. Greg Jones of Bush Church Aid in St. George's Church, Wonthagi, where I worship each Sunday on November 4th.

He told how many Christian pastors in rural Australia have become so depressed and feel so hopeless at the plight of their rural populations that many of them will not venture from their rectories and parsonages to bring any word of comfort to members of the farming community, knowing many of them are on the verge of bankruptcy, or suicide.

The Just Price and the National Dividend: After the service I said to Rev. Jones: *"If Australia's money supply was created free of debt, and distributed as an act of grace in the form of an unearned dividend to every Australian citizen in accordance with God's will, there would be no suicide rate, and no depressed Christian ministers."*

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *The Fruit Of Neo-Communism* • *That Boring Election Campaign* • *The Wrecking Ball Of Globalisation* • *The Unlucky Country* • *Doctors Question Flouridation Of Water Supplies* • *The New York Times And Racial Differences*

He stopped what he was doing and turned and looked at me like a man momentarily stunned, then hesitatingly responded: "I think you might be right."

Distinguishing between good and evil: Later I had the opportunity to point out to him the only reason Christian rural ministers were depressed was because they had never been taught that they, under Christ, are his sole authority on how money must be created and distributed; that it is not the responsibility of economists, politicians, or the academic secularists who infest our educational institutions. The responsibility rests with those who undertake to teach the difference between good and evil in all things in order that God's will may be done on earth. I think I caught his attention, but will have to wait and see if anything fruitful eventuates.

Social crediters have been offering the truth about the creation and distribution of money to the Christian Church at least since the life-time of C.H. Douglas, and always the offer has been rejected – from the top where the head of the fish always rots first!

Many Social Crediters believe the reason for the rejection lies in that oft repeated phrase which occurs throughout the New Testament: "For fear of the Jews." While there is a mountain of evidence supporting that view, in reality it is a denial of the only reason Christ was sent from heaven to earth to carry out the will of the Father in heaven. I would be the last to deny there is a Jewish problem in the world today, and it may be true the Christian Church today is still fearful of the Jews, as were the Jewish people of their own leaders during Christ's ministry on earth.

But the basic absolute truth today, as a result of Christ's ministry, is there is no such thing as a Jewish problem, *only a Christian problem*. The Pharisees of today are fearful – with a fear far outweighing that of those that fear them. May the Christian Church finally realise the problem lies in not obeying the command: "Ask, and it shall be given unto you."

In asking our political leaders, we are asking the wrong people: Politics sprang from Christianity, not *vice versa*. We should be asking our Christian leaders to never cease telling our political leaders to implement the creation and distribution of money free of debt.

And we should implement the simple political pressure Christ outlined in the parable about the lady in Luke 18: 1,8, and never cease asking and goading our Christian leaders until they pluck up the courage and conviction to never cease insisting to our political leaders it is the will of God that all money be created and distributed free of debt.

In doing so, they would elevate the authority of Christ over the power of mammon.

In introducing His parable concerning the above lady, Christ said: "Men should pray, rather than faint."

If the prayer requests are right, what is received shall also be right. "It is my will that the will of my disciples shall be one with my will." Continue to pray this may be so.

THAT BORING ELECTION CAMPAIGN by Betty Luks: First things first. One good result: according to the latest figures coming in, the Labor Party will not have control of the Senate. At the last count it was the Liberal/Coalition with 37 seats the Labor Party 32 seats, the Greens 5 seats, Family First (Vic.) one and Nick Xenophon (S.A.) one seat.

While we await the final figures, and those who won the fruits of office set themselves up to wield political power, let us look at what the headlines tell us about both main contenders.

Labor: There have been murmurs and commitments from PM elect Kevin Rudd on: A referendum on a republic; a "Sorry" message to the 'Stolen Generation', which, we are assured, will lead to a reconciliation, and a separate Aboriginal treaty. While he is busy doing these things he will also sign the Kyoto Agreement.

What with the United Nations push for 'Indigenous Rights' and a separate 'Treaty' for Aboriginals, Mr. Rudd is placing Australians further and further under the control of the United Nations and taking the peoples' rights away from them.

Liberals: They were busy licking their wounds for a while after the trouncing they received at the polls. There has been speculation that some of the high profilers may not see their parliamentary term out before heading for greener pastures. What are the chances that Alexander Downer will head off overseas, maybe to the United Nations from whence he came? As for Costello, the early word is he might be scooped up by the banking system.

The new Leader of the Opposition, Dr. Brendan Nelson, has declared himself a Constitutional Monarchist. He was elected Leader by the Liberal Party at its first meeting after the election on 24 November 2007.

Xenophon has promised to "work his guts out" for S.A.: As for South Australia's newest Independent Senator Nick Xenophon, the very next day after the elections he flew to the Riverland of South Australia to consult with the beleaguered growers desperate for water for their trees and crops. Many have mortgaged their homes up to the hilt so as to buy extra water during this time of drought. Xenophon has called for urgent action by Rudd for these people, along with long-term plans for sustainable water supplies to ensure their future viability.

THE WRECKING BALL OF GLOBALISATION by James Reed: Tony Judt has penned an interesting review of Robert Reich's latest book, "SuperCapitalism" (2007), a celebration of globalisation. (Tony Judt, "The Wrecking Ball of Innovation", *The New York Review of Books*, 6/12/07.)

Although Reich celebrates "SuperCapitalism," Judt notes that "the facts he amasses appear to point to an incipient collapse of the core values and institutions of the republic." In other words, corporate greed is finishing off America by destroying social capital.

Judt says: "Fear is re-emerging as an active ingredient of political life in Western democracies. Fear of terrorism, of course, but also, and perhaps more insidiously, fear of the uncontrollable speed of change, fear of loss of employment, fear of losing ground to others in an unequal distribution of resources, fear of losing control of the circumstances and routines of one's daily life. And, perhaps above all, fear that it is just not we who can no longer shape our lives but those in authority have lost control as well, to forces beyond their reach."

Judt does not say what these 'forces' are, but social credit writers have adequately characterised the foe: the Satanic Forces of International Finance, who, behind the scenes, control the wrecking ball of globalisation.

THE UNLUCKY COUNTRY by James Reed: While the boring election went oozing along, the 'intellectuals' were hot in debate. Paul Kelly of *The Australian* got the debate bubbling along with a piece entitled "Time For a Rethink" (cover title "The Lucky Country") in *The Australian Literary Review*, 3/10/07). His thesis is summed up in his sentence: "The moral vanity of Australia's intellectual class has led it into a political dead end."

Tut-tut, public intellectuals have not given credit to the economic progress made in Australia. As Kelly puts it, on the economy and immigration "there's been a lot of continuity between Hawke and Keating on the one hand and Howard on the other". How right Kelly is!

All of them are globalists and have worked against the real national interests of Australia. Likewise, the politically correct intellectuals criticised by Kelly have generally followed the same road, but perhaps with more emphasis on cultural affairs.

This is another uninteresting family dispute within the globalist ranks. The aim of our movement is to call into question all of their unstated – and stated – philosophical underpinnings of their common position.

DOCTORS QUESTION FLOURIDATION OF WATER SUPPLIES by Don Auchterlonie: The *Gippsland Safe Water Alliance* notes that the 100% support for Fluoridation by doctors is no longer the case. Glen Bermoth, writing in *The Standard* (19/11/07), said: "Twenty-one south-west doctors have signed an anti-fluoride petition arguing that it is 'imprudent' to add the chemical to public water supplies." All but one doctor practices in Warrnambool, Victoria.

In their letter addressed to the Department of Human Services' chief health officer John Carnie they said they opposed the planned fluoridation of Warrnambool, Geelong and Ballarat water supplies. "We feel that it is imprudent to initiate further fluoridation of public water supplies until such time as modern data can either substantiate or refute the alleged benefits and the safety or otherwise is established."

Effect of fluoride on tissues of the human body: "The practice of public water fluoridation predates much of the scientific data that is coming through in recent years. The current scientific interest in the effect of fluoride on tissues other than teeth is also raising questions on safety that have not been previously addressed and may need further studies and time to validate."

Dr. Natalie Ryan, who presented *The Standard* with the petition, said the 21 signatures were secured within one week and there were probably more to come. "I think the response is quite powerful," she said, "all the doctors are doctors who have looked at the issue a bit more closely."

A spokesman for the *Gippsland Safe Water Alliance* said they are encouraged that doctors are starting to question fluoridation of water supplies.

THE NEW YORK TIMES AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES by Brian Simpson: Lawrence Auster's excellent "View From the Right" website, which reports from a traditionalist politically incorrect position, reports that a *New York Times* article "has virtually admitted that there are inherited racial differences in intellectual abilities". The article is by Amy Harmon and she says that "the consequences of science... may eventually reveal appreciable differences between races in the genes that influence socially important traits".

Further, she says, liberals "argue that accepting that there may be genetic differences between races is important in preparing to address them politically."

As Auster rightly observes, the real problem for liberalism is not that recognition of race differences in intelligence would lead to renewed irrational White prejudice; the real problem for liberalism is that the recognition of race differences would destroy the liberal belief that all races should have equal intellectual and economic outcomes, which in turn would lead to the demise of the liberal indictment of supposed White racism as the supposed cause of those inequalities."

Slowly, the intellectual idols of the new world order are cracking and we expect that in the foreseeable future, the entire edifice will topple.

Readers interested in this issue should purchase that excellent League booklet by D. Watts "The Dangerous Myth of Racial Equality: Genocide for the White Races?" – \$5.00 posted from all Heritage Book Services and Veritas Publishing W.A.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
 Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
 Subscription \$40.00 p.a.